arXiv:1303.4612v2 [math.GR] 20 Mar 2013

ON INVARIANT PARTITIONS OF GROUPS

TARAS BANAKH, IGOR PROTASOV, SERGIY SLOBODIANIUK

Abstract. We prove that for each partition $G = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ of an infinite group G there is a cell A_i of the partition and a subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$ such that $G = F \cdot (A_i A_i^{-1})^G$ where $A^G = \bigcup_{x \in G} xAx^{-1}$ for a subset $A \subset G$. This implies that for any partition $G = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ of G into inner-invariant sets $A_i = A_i^G$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $G = FA_iA_i^{-1}$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$.

In this paper we shall resolve an invariant version of the following unsolved problem from Kourov Problem Notebook [4, 13.44] due to I.V.Protasov:

Problem 1 (Protasov)**.** *Is it true that for every finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ of a group G there is a finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$ such that $G = FA_iA_i^{-1}$ for some index $i \le n$?*

This Problem has an affirmative solution in case of an amenable group (see Theorem 12.8 [6]). For an arbitrary group G we can merely find a subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le 2^{2^{n-1}-1}$ with $FA_iA_i^{-1}$ for some $i \le n$ (see Theorem 12.7 in [6]). In [3] the upper bound $2^{2^{n-1}-1}$ was improved to $\varphi(n+1) = \max_{0 \le k \le n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} k^k$. The sequence $\varphi(n+1)$ grows faster than any exponent a^n, $a > 1$, but slower than the sequence $n!$ of factorials.

In this paper we resolve an "inner-invariant" version of Problems 1. A subset A of a group G will be called *inner-invariant* if $xAx^{-1} = A$ for all $x \in G$. This is equivalent to the equality $A = A^G$ where $A^G = \{gag^{-1} : g \in G,\ \ a \in A\}$.

Theorem 2. *For every finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ of a group G into inner-invariant sets there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $G = F \cdot A_iA_i^{-1}$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$.*

This theorem follows from a bit more general theorem treating any (not necessarily invariant) partitions of groups.

Theorem 3. *For every finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ of a group G there there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $G = F \cdot (A_iA_i^{-1})^G$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$.*

This theorem will be proved with help of a function $\mathsf{sis} : \mathcal{P}(G) \to [0,1]$, defined as follows. First we recall some auxiliary notions.

Let G be a group and $\mathcal{P}(G)$ be the Boolean algebra of all subsets of G. Each point $x \in G$ determines the *Dirac measure* $\delta_x : \mathcal{P}(G) \to \{0,1\}$ defined by

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Convex combinations $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ of Dirac measures are called *finitely supported probability measures* on G. The set of such measures will be denoted by $P_\omega(G)$. For two finitely supported probability measures $\mu = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ and $\nu = \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}$ the measure $\mu * \nu = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}$ is called the *convolution* of μ and ν. It is well-known that the operation of convolution is associative and turns the set $P_\omega(G)$ into a semigroup.

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \to [0,1]$ will be called

- *left-invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for any $A \subset G$ and $x \in G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for any $A \subset G$ and $x, y \in G$;
- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B \subset G$;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \le \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset G$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive monotone function with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(G) = 1$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A07, 05B40, 20F24, 28C10.

Key words and phrases. Invariant partitions, Solecki submeasure.

The first author has been partially financed by NCN grant DEC-2011/01/B/ST1/01439.

Our main instrument in the proof of Theorem 3 is the submeasure $\mathsf{sis}:\mathcal{P}(G)\to[0,1]$ defined by the formula

$$\mathsf{sis}(A)=\sup_{\mu\in P_\omega(G)}\inf_{\nu\in P_\omega(G)}\sup_{x\in G}\nu*\mu(xA).$$

This definition implies that the function sis is monotone and left-invariant (and in fact, invariant). The subadditivity of sis is less trivial and is proved in:

Lemma 1. *The function* $\mathsf{sis}:\mathcal{P}(G)\to[0,1]$ *is subadditive.*

Proof. It suffices to check that $\mathsf{sis}(A\cup B)\le\mathsf{sis}(A)+\mathsf{sis}(B)+2\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. This will follow as soon as for any measure $\mu\in P_\omega(G)$ we find a measure $\nu\in P_\omega(G)$ such that $\sup_{x\in G}\nu*\mu(x(A\cup B))<\mathsf{sis}(A)+\mathsf{sis}(B)+\varepsilon$.

By the definition of $\mathsf{sis}(A)$, for the measure μ there is a measure $\nu\in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in G}\nu*\mu(xA)<\mathsf{sis}(A)+\varepsilon.$$

By the definition of $\mathsf{sis}(B)$ for the measure $\nu*\mu$ there is a measure $\eta\in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in G}\eta*(\nu*\mu)(xB)<\mathsf{sis}(B)+\varepsilon.$$

We claim that the measure $\eta*\nu$ has the required property. Write the measure η as a convex combination $\eta=\sum_i\alpha_i\delta_{a_i}$ of Dirac measures. Then for every $x\in G$ we get

$$\eta*\nu*\mu(xA)=\sum_i\alpha_i\cdot\delta_{a_i}*\nu*\mu(xA)=\sum_i\alpha_i\cdot\nu*\mu(a_i^{-1}xA)<\sum_i\alpha_i(\mathsf{sis}(A)+\varepsilon)=\mathsf{sis}(A)+\varepsilon$$

and

$$\eta*\nu*\mu(x(A\cup B))\le\eta*\nu*\mu(xA)+\eta*\nu*\mu(xB)<\mathsf{sis}(A)+\varepsilon+\mathsf{sis}(B)+\varepsilon.$$

□

Remark 4. The submeasure sis on a group G coincides with one of extremal densities, namely,

$$\mathsf{sis}_{321}(A)=\sup_{\mu_1\in P_\omega(G)}\inf_{\mu_2\in P_\omega(G)}\sup_{\mu_3\in P_\omega(G)}\mu_3*\mu_2*\mu_1(A)$$

defined by Banakh in [1]. It is a modification of the Solecki submeasure

$$\mathsf{iss}_{213}(A)=\inf_{\mu_1\in P_\omega(G)}\sup_{\mu_2\in P_\omega(G)}\sup_{\mu_3\in P_\omega(G)}\mu_2*\mu_1*\mu_3(A)$$

introduced by Solecki in [7] and studied in [7], [1].

The subadditivity of the submeasure sis and the following theorem imply Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. *For any group G and a subset $A\subset G$ with* $\mathsf{sis}(A)>0$ *there is a finite subset $F\subset G$ of cardinality* $|F|\le1/\mathsf{sis}(A)$ *such that $G=F\cdot(AA^{-1})^G$.*

Proof. Using Zorn's Lemma, choose a maximal subset $M\subset G$ such that for every $x\in G$ the indexed family $(zxA)_{z\in M}$ is *disjoint*. By the maximality of M, for every point $g\in G$ there are points $z\in M$ and $x\in G$ such that $zxA\cap gxA\ne\emptyset$ and hence $g\in zxAA^{-1}x^{-1}\subset M\cdot(AA^{-1})^G$. So, $G\subset M\cdot(AA^{-1})^G$. To complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to check that the set M is finite and has cardinality $|M|\le1/\mathsf{sis}(A)$.

Assuming the opposite, we could find a finite subset $F\subset M$ of cardinality $|F|>1/\mathsf{sis}(A)$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $1/(\mathsf{sis}(A)-\varepsilon)<|F|$ and by the definition of the function sis, find a measure $\mu\in P_\omega(G)$ such that $\inf_{\nu\in P_\omega(G)}\sup_{x\in G}\nu*\mu(xA)>\mathsf{sis}(A)-\varepsilon$. Then for the uniformly distributed measure $\nu=\frac{1}{|F|}\sum_{z\in F}\delta_{z^{-1}}$ on the set F^{-1} we get

$$\mathsf{sis}(A)-\varepsilon<\sup_{x\in G}\nu*\mu(xA)=\sup_{x\in G}\frac{1}{|F|}\sum_{z\in F}\delta_{z^{-1}}*\mu(xA)=\sup_{x\in G}\frac{1}{|F|}\sum_{z\in F}\mu(zxA),$$

which allows us to find a point $x\in G$ such that

$$\sum_{z\in F}\mu(zxA)>|F|(\mathsf{sis}(A)-\varepsilon)>1.$$

On the other hand, the choice of the set $M \supset F$ guarantees that the family $(zxA)_{z\in Z}$ is disjoint. Now the additivity of the measure μ implies

$$1 < \sum_{z\in F}\mu(zxA) = \mu\big(\bigcup_{z\in F} zxA\big) \le 1,$$

which is a desired contradiction confirming that $|M| \le 1/\mathsf{sis}(A)$. □

In fact, by a more sophisticated method developed in [1], it is possible to prove the following improvement of Theorem 2 (see Corollary 13.8 in [1]).

Theorem 6. *For every finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of an infinite group G there there is a cell A_i of the partition and finite subsets $F, E \subset G$ such that $|F| \le n$ and $G = F \cdot \Delta(A_i)^E$ where $\Delta(A_i) = \{x \in G : A_i \cap xA_i$ is infinite$\}$ and $\Delta(A_i)^E = \{gag^{-1} : g \in E,\ \ a \in \Delta(A_i)\}$.*

Problem 7. *Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G such that $A_iA_j = A_jA_i$ for any indices $1 \le i, j \le n$. Is $FA_iA_i^{-1} = G$ for some $i \le n$ and some finite subset $F \subset G$ of cardinality $|F| \le n$?*

Finally, we pose one question about the submeasure sis. A submeasure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \to [0, 1]$ on a group G is called *syndetic* if for every subset $A \subset G$ with $\mu(A) < 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subset $B \subset G \setminus A$ such that $\mu(B) < \varepsilon$ and $G = FB$ for some finite subset $F \subset G$. Such submeasures were introduced in [2]. A group possessing a syndetic submeasure is called *subamenable*. In [2] it was shown that a group G is subamenable if it contains an infinite amenable normal subgroup or admits a homomorphism onto an infinite totally bounded topological group. It is not known if each infinite group is subamenable, see [2]. It can be shown that for each infinite abelian group G the submeasure sis in syndetic.

Problem 8. *Is the submeasure sis syndetic on each group G?*

References

[1] T.Banakh, *The Solecki submeasures on groups*, preprint (http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0717).

[2] T.Banakh, I.Protasov, S.Slobodianiuk, *Subamenable groups and their partitions*, preprint (http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.5804).

[3] T. Banakh, O.Ravsky, S.Slobodianiuk, *On partitions of G-spaces and G-lattices*, preprint (http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.1427).

[4] V.D. Mazurov, E.I. Khukhro, (eds.) *Unsolved problems in group theory: the Kourovka notebook*, Thirteenth augmented edition. Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 1995. 120 pp.

[5] I.V. Protasov, *Selective survey on subset combinatorics of groups*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. **7**:2 (2010), 220–257; transl. in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **174**:4 (2011), 486–514.

[6] I.Protasov, T.Banakh, *Ball stuctures and colorings of graphs and groups*, VNTL Publ. 2003, 148p.

[7] S. Solecki, *Size of subsets of groups and Haar null sets*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15**:1 (2005), 246–273.

T.Banakh: Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Ukraine and Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland.

I.Protasov and S.Slobodianiuk: Taras Shevchenko National University, Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail address: `t.o.banakh@gmail.com, i.v.protasov@gmail.com, slobodianiuk@yandex.ru`